



A WEEKLY COMMENTARY

ON TARGET



- NEWS HIGHLIGHTS
- BACKGROUND INFORMATION
- COMMONWEALTH AFFAIRS

The price of Freedom is eternal vigilance -

Print Post Publication Number 381667 00258

Vol.45, No.3

January 30th, 2009

THOUGHT FOR THE WEEK:

They withdraw unilaterally; They ceasefire unilaterally; They invade unilaterally; They win unilaterally; They destroy unilaterally; They massacre unilaterally; They bathe in blood unilaterally; They spread white phosphorus unilaterally; They kill women and children unilaterally; They drop bombs unilaterally; They live on stolen land unilaterally; They support their homicidal leaders unilaterally; They love their 'Jewish Only State' unilaterally; Their democracy is unilateral; They love themselves unilaterally; They are the unilateral people.

Living behind walls of concrete, hatred and arrogance. They are still united and lateral, failing to love their neighbours.
– "The Unilateral People", Gilad Atzmon, 18th January 2009

It's a wrap, a doddle, an Israeli ceasefire just in time for Barack Obama to have a squeaky-clean inauguration with all the world looking at the streets of Washington rather than the rubble of Gaza. Condi and Ms. Livni thought their new arms-monitoring agreement – reached without a single Arab being involved – would work... The great and the good gathered for a Sharm el-Sheikh summit. Only Hamas itself was not consulted... And not one European leader travelling to the region suggested the survivors might be helped if Israel, the E.U. and the U.S. ended the food and fuel siege of Gaza.

After killing hundreds of women and children, Israel was the good guy again, by declaring a unilateral ceasefire that Hamas was certain to break. But Obama will be smiling on Tuesday. Was not this the reason, after all, why Israel suddenly wanted a truce?

What no one noticed yesterday – not the Arabs nor the Israelis nor the portentous men from Europe – was that the Sharm el-Sheikh meeting last night was opening on the 90th anniversary – to the day – of the opening of the 1919 Paris peace conference which created the modern Middle East. One of its main topics was "the borders of Palestine". There followed the Versailles Treaty. And we know what happened then. The rest really is history. Bring on the ghosts. – Robert Fisk, *The Independent*, 19th January 2009

The front page of the Beirut daily *As-Safir* said it all yesterday. Across the top was a terrible photograph of the bloated body of a Palestinian man newly discovered in the ruins of his home while two male members of his family shrieked and roared their grief. Below, at half the size, was a photograph from Israel of Western leaders joking with Ehud Olmert, the Israeli Prime Minister. Olmert was roaring with laughter. Silvio Berlusconi, arms on the back of Olmert's shoulders, was also joshing and roaring –

In this issue: • *Thought For The Week* • *What A Tangled Web We Have Woven* • *Saving Western Civilisation : The 1960s* • *The Discriminatory Anti-Discrimination Industry*

"ON TARGET"

January 30th, 2009

Page 1 (9)

with laughter, not grief – and on Olmert's right was Nicolas Sarkozy of France wearing his stupidest of smiles. Only Chancellor Merkel appeared to understand the moral collapse. No smiles from Germany.

– Robert Fisk, *The Independent*, 20th January 2009

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=qMGuYjt6CP8>: "WE are the authors of the Palestinian tragedy" George Galloway MP, U.K.

WHAT A TANGLED WEB WE HAVE WOVEN by Betty Luks: British MP George Galloway (Speech in the House of Commons available online above) has spoken out against the Israeli invasion of Gaza and the coldblooded, brutal attacks on the defenceless people of Gaza. He referred to the historical fact that the Palestinian tragedy had its origins in the House of Commons when Lord Balfour signed the infamous Balfour Declaration promising to establish a "National Home" for the Jews. Eric Butler was writing about this tragedy long before the world heard of George Galloway MP.

Eric Butler referred to the fact the Balfour Declaration was a violation of previous promises made to the Arabs, but the promises were broken in exchange for promised American and International Financial support at a critical time when the First World War looked like having to end in a stalemate. Successive British Governments were faced with the impossible task of attempting to maintain law and order in Palestine as the Palestinians revolted against the growing Zionist invasion. Zionist terror activities, including the murder of Britain's Ambassador to the Middle East, Lord Moyne, even provoked pro-Zionist Winston Churchill to raise his voice in protest.

With the collapse of Czarist Russia at the time, Imperial Germany was free to concentrate its total forces on the Western front, resulting in a desperate military situation for the British. The situation was exploited by International Zionism with its strong backing by the Rothschilds and other German-Jewish international bankers.

The legendary "Lawrence of Arabia", who had played a major role in bringing the Arabs to the Western Allies' cause, was so upset by the Balfour Declaration, which he saw as a blatant betrayal of a firm promise, that he declined to accept any honours from His Majesty, King George V. (*On Target*: Vol.27, No.6; Vol.31, No.44)

Read the following very carefully: "The real credit of a community is its productive capacity and real wealth. *But if this real credit can only be used on terms dictated by those who have a monopoly of creating and issuing financial credit*, a mere book-keeping arrangement, then it is elementary that if this monopoly can be developed on an international scale, those controlling such a monopoly have a major instrument for imposing a World State.

Just as trading banks, whether called private or Government, have progressively become the mere instruments of Central Banks, so are Central Banks now becoming instruments of the International Monetary Fund, which now creates a form of international credit called "Paper Gold" or Special Drawing Rights." ("Censored History" by Eric D. Butler)

A nation's sovereign birthright: One of the threads in this tangled web stretches back over three hundred years. If you get a hold of that thread, don't let go till you have examined following world events. You will discover treacherous British parliamentarians of the day, yes they were in power back then too, had sold the nation's sovereign right to create and control its own financial system. To whom? To a group of private Money Lenders (now known as 'bankers') from Amsterdam.

Money Lenders from Amsterdam: The Money Lenders agreed to extend 'credit' to the government of the day, for their day-to-day expenditures, on condition the 'credit' was repaid, with interest, by the taxes of the people. The essence of the activities of this incredible organization was summarized by William Patterson, one of the principal Front men connected with its foundation: "The Bank has benefit to the interest on all monies which it creates out of nothing..."

Two hundred years later, the outcome of WW I with Germany depended just as much on the American and International Bankers as it did on Britain's resources, productive capacity and the fighting capabilities and resolve of its army.

The betrayal of the Arab people by the British government, with its 'back to the wall' early in the 20th century, had evil origins in the late 17th century. Shakespeare sure had it right: What a tangled web we weave, when first we practice to deceive.

"The Tallies, A Tangled Tale and The Beginning and the Ending" by David Astle available from all Book Services. Price: \$15.00 plus postage.

SAVING WESTERN CIVILISATION : THE 1960s by Chris Knight: The Logical Conclusion of Lunatic Liberalism: We are living at a time of a crisis in Western civilisation where we seriously must consider the prospects that the end could be in view for our kind. Only if we do so can we make a determined effort to survive. Most races and civilisations that have fallen before in human history have done so because extinction and collapse crept up on them, so to speak.

Although the present suicidal disease now gripping the West has its origins in events hundreds of years ago, the 1960s represent a point where the forces of the New World Order 'turned up the heat'. In terms of a cultural assault, the 1960s were a period of intense warfare against the old conservative order. Philosopher Roger Scruton sees the 1960s as an era of rebellion not only against parents, but the concept of parent itself, a radical antinomianism (*The Financial Review*, 23/10/1998, p.7).

The 1960s radicals had an upper middle-class background and John Carroll describes this group as "paranoid and remissive" and as 'traitors' (J. Carroll, "Paranoid and Remissive: The Treason of the Upper Middle Class" (1982). They had a hatred of the authority of the old conservative culture, primarily because of its weakness – in allowing these terrible infants to get away with what they tried. The 1960s radicals came from homes with dominant mothers and weak fathers. Lacking authoritative males to identify with, they turned with "paranoid hatred against their own society, identifying with the likes of mega-murderers such as Mao Zedong. They pinned their hopes for revolution upon, "the criminals, the insane, the poor and the racially degraded" (as Carroll, p.3 puts it), denizens of a strange nefarious netherland that these young wolves would rule over.

All of this explains the ascendancy of "feminist" traits in these elites, as well as their fear of fighting. Opposition to the Vietnam War was a cultural "happening" primarily motivated by fear of conscription, of becoming a soldier. It was a rebellion against the metaphysical image of man the warrior and certainly against the "Christian soldier" divine warrior tradition of Christianity. Jesus was reborn for the 60s pinko as John Lennon the peacenik, pleading with us to give peace a chance, by thinking happy thoughts and spending the day in bed.

Camille Paglia, a 'post-feminist' intellectual has recognised that an undermining or white-anting of masculinity has occurred: "The least happy people in the world are academics. They are miserable, prissy and horrible. The academic feminists fear and despise the masculine. They think 'nerdy' bookworm husbands are the ideal model of human manhood." (*The Weekend Australian Review*, June 1-2, 1991 p.3)

It didn't 'just happen': The radicalism of the 1960s did not 'just happen'. The dark seed of leftist revolt had been planted, in Australia for example, by the old Left of the 1930s. For example, "gods" of the Australian Left, such as former Prime Minister Gough Whitlam, was a student at Sydney University in the 1930s. Thus the students and academics were able to organise against the highly sensible White Australia Policy, for them a symbol of all evil, by at least 1961.

Illogically enough, war in Asia was seen to be, by definition, "racist". Liberal Don Chipp was able to call for a "multi-racial" Australia on the ABC's *Monday Conference* in 1972, while Donald Horne proclaimed with glee in 1964, "we're all Asians now."

Jim Cairns, treasurer in the Whitlam government idealised the Vietnamese and expanded on his view of Asia in "Living with Asia" (1965). Cairn's spirit was to be with the levitating Maharishi in a mystical journey to an Asia which was "warm" and "colourful" compared to Australia which was "materialist" and "indifferent". In the same idealist spirit T.M. Fitzgerald, editor of the Nation, on 2 July 1960, pleaded for mass Asian immigration to "improve" Australia.

In his book "In the Shadow of the Bomb: Politics, Religion and the Baby-Boom Generation," (Omega Publishing, Canberra 1991) D. Heslin says that traditional Anglo-Saxon Australia was hated so much by the new class that it had to be changed through mass immigration. The Liberal-Left intelligentsia made a natural progression to the doctrine of multiculturalism which they saw as having the power to destroy Anglo-Saxon Australia. Heslin has also said:

"In a similarly paradoxical way, multiculturalists whose views have been greatly facilitated by the social activism of the sixties, set themselves up as champions of every minority culture in our midst, in the face of the dominating, Anglo-centric one, but in reality these are the very people who hate the whole concept of a unique national identity! Their success in propagating these ideas says much for how lacking the wider community is in its own sense of uniqueness. It is precisely that we lack a sense of living for something bigger than ourselves which allows such ideas to flourish. Multiculturalism is a symptom of a social and spiritual sickness." (pp.92-93)

A.C. Jacobson in "Genius: Some Revaluations" (Greenburg, New York, 1926) anticipated accurately many of our dilemmas. He said "The Jekyll-Hydes of our common life are ethnic hybrids." Jacobson saw the incompatibility of certain "racial bloods" leading to a "molecular insult". Worse, there is also a metaphysical instability: "very much of the strange behaviour of our young people to-day is simply due to their lack of ethnical anchorage; they are bewildered hybrids, unable to believe sincerely in anything, and disowned by their own ancestral mores... It is a foolish case over again of hen hatching ducklings, of wolf fostering foundlings." (cited p.394 of W.A. Price, "Nutrition and Physical Degeneration" (Hoeber, New York, 1939)

Multiculturalism is a secular religion, created by the new class elites to attempt to fill the spiritual vacuum in the life of affluent and decadent secular humanists. Those who jettisoned Christianity from their world-view, or attempted to dilute and pollute Christ's message have a guilt-ridden need to destroy, that which they should in a healthy world, embrace. This is a social pathology. But, unfortunately now, the "long march" through the institutions has been accomplished – the lunatics now rule the asylum.

Combating the decline of a civilisation will not be easy. It will require a resistance movement to begin building again. Let us be the under-labourers in the first steps in this long arduous task.

Further essential reading: Alexander Solzhenitsyn's "The Legacy of Terror", \$2.00 plus postage; "The West's Betrayal of Civilisation", \$2.50 plus postage.

THE DISCRIMINATORY ANTI-DISCRIMINATION INDUSTRY by Ian Wilson LL.B: The Federal Sex Discrimination Commissioner has said that the *Sex Discrimination Act* discriminates against men! The Act, based on the U.N. International Convention on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women allows women to bring a complaint of indirect discrimination based upon carer responsibilities. But men cannot do this. (*The Australian*, 4/9/08 p.7)

Before you think that our 1984 system is softening, recall that the agenda of the chattering classes is role reversal; as in the witches' scene in *Macbeth*, fair is foul and foul is fair. Men need to be shoved into the domestic sphere and women into paid employment. Hence the need to redo the Sex Discrimination Act so males have no excuse anymore.

"ON TARGET" is printed and published by The Australian League of Rights, 145 Russell St., Melbourne.
Postal Address: GPO Box 1052, Melbourne, 3001. Telephone: (03) 9650 9749, Fax: (03) 9650 9368.
Subscription \$45.00 p.a.